

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,958	11/03/2003	Alex MacMurdo	M113.2N-10592-US02	5068	
	7590 08/07/2007 TT & STEINKRAUS, P.A	EXAMINER			
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			GANEY, STEVEN J		
EDEN PRAIRI	E, MN 55344		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				(
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/699,958	MACMURDO, ALEX				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven J. Ganey	3752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status	•	·					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<u>May 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 18-28 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>18-28</u> is/are rejected.		·				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		,				
-	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		• •			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	· ·•			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document None Certified copies of the priority document None Certified Copies of the priority document None Certified Copies None Certified Certified Copies None Certified Certi	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ority documents have bee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.				
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on May 29, 2007, which has been fully considered in this action.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 18-28 is withdrawn in view of the reference to Beekman. Rejections based on the reference follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beekman.

Beekman discloses an applicator comprising a tube 14 with a passage 36 formed of material constructed and arranged to be attracted to an outside surface 10, which can be releasably affixed to the outside surface, except for the combination with a container. As to the container note that the tube is used with an air hose, therefore, the tube of Beekman is capable of being attracted to a container such as an air compressor having an outside surface and an interior. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the applicator of Beekman with a container such as an air compressor since such containers(i.e. air compressors) are well known to be used with air hoses and would be capable

hose.

of having the tube releasably affixed to the outside surface when a machinist wants to rest the

As to claims 24, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the tube of Beekman constructed of a flexible magnetic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. A flexible magnetic material would facilitate maneuverability.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is 571-272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00; M, Tu, W and Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,958

Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjg 8/03/07

> STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER